

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/460,174	12/10/1999	WALTER WESLEY HOWE	99-006	2106	
32127	7590 01/09/2004		EXAMINER		
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.			LELE, TANMAY S		
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE MAILCODE HQEO3H14			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2684	//	
IRVING, TX	75038		DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	D: 01/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/460,174	HOWE, WALTER W	ESLEY				
navious y nous.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tanmay S Lele	2684					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep	ly to a ation in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So (36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	•						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	าร.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>19-25</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		•	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 19-25.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		7					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Tanmay Lele Tele: (703) 305-3462 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner respectfully maintains the §112 1st paragraph rejection for claims 1-18. The cited limitations in claims 1,10,14, and 16 are not provided in the applicant's specification. In addition, the Applicant's explanation on page 8 of paper number 18, states that the support for the claimed limitations are not the same as described in the specification. The Examiner tried to correlate the claim and specification and could not establish the relation.

NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER